




Federal Common Measures



This report is an at-a-glance indicator of system health and performance.
Supplemental program, demographic and/or economic information
on the Northwest Region may be available as addenda .





Earnings goals was successfully adjusted downward by the State Workforce Board acting on NWC's request.



Local Federal Common Measure performance goals not established for Wagner Peyer. These are statewide goals.



Volatility in performance is inherent with small program participant counts.



Northwest Workforce System Performance One-Stop Customers

BUSINESS CUSTOMERS


2nd Quarter 2011



- NW maintained the highest performance in % of job orders filled.

BUSINESS SATISFACTION


2nd Quarter 2011



- Online database disruption resulted in only 3 comment cards.


JOB SEEKER CUSTOMERS

2nd Quarter 2011



JOB SEEKER SATISFACTION

2nd Quarter 2011




- N=54

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

- DVR and WIA programs all met or exceeded performance goals this quarter.
- Department of Labor continues to require customer satisfaction reporting, but state resources do not support sample sizes large enough to report results at the local level.
- Business comment card on-line database suffered a reset and most of the results were lost.
- A marked increase in business customers receiving a service was realized.
- A decline in total customer visits was due, in no small part, to poor access to WorkSource Whatcom during extended road construction.
- Numerous grants have concluded this last quarter of the program year.
- Continuing, initial, and exhausting UI claims saw declines even as the UI rate ticked upward.

Northwest Workforce System Performance Funding and Grants


WIA Programs - Fiscal Summary 4th and Final Quarter of Program Year 2010




Final Expenditure Rates reflect increase in carry-out over initial plan as a result of added funds from ARRA 10%, On Job Training NEG, and SB-5809 training reimbursements.

The grants and projects listed below are on track without significant barriers or delays


Workforce Development System Enhancements




Health and Allied Services Industries



Marine and Advanced Manufacturing Industries



**Northwest Workforce System Performance
Regional Unemployment**



- Data is not seasonally adjusted. Values for June 2011.
- The rise in unemployment rate is not a concern, as seasonal high point in June due to full time students seeking employment for the summer months. Increasing volatility in data is showing downward revisions in following month. Employment growth trends in the WDA suggest June rate will be revised downward .
- There are no reliable indicators pointing to an upcoming recession, although becoming more probable as global economic growth slows down.
- WDA3 continuing claims have dropped compared to last June. The factors causing the decline are likely due to a combination of factors: people deciding to take jobs even if it is not their ideal job; workers have expiring benefits

Commute Patterns for WDA3 and Snohomish/King/Pierce Counties 2009Q2-2010Q1							
Place of Work	County of Residence						
	Island	Skagit	Whatcom	San Juan	Snohomish	King	Pierce
Island County, WA	35.30%	1.40%	0.40%	0.70%	NA	NA	NA
Skagit County, WA	9.50%	53.30%	5.20%	3.00%	1.30%	NA	NA
Whatcom County, WA	4.10%	8.60%	74.10%	2.70%	0.80%	0.30%	NA
San Juan County, WA	NA	NA	NA	70.10%	NA	NA	NA
Snohomish County, WA	18.50%	11.50%	4.00%	2.90%	45.50%	5.50%	3.20%
King County, WA	21.90%	17.10%	9.60%	12.80%	44.20%	84.50%	33.40%
Pierce County, WA	2.00%	1.50%	1.70%	1.70%	2.20%	4.10%	53.20%
Kitsap County, WA	2.00%	1.40%	0.40%	0.90%	0.70%	0.50%	1.30%
Thurston County, WA	1.00%	0.80%	0.80%	NA	0.90%	0.80%	3.00%
Spokane County, WA	0.90%	0.60%	0.60%	0.70%	0.80%	0.80%	0.80%
Clark County, WA	0.80%	na	0.60%	0.70%	0.60%	0.70%	0.90%
All Other Locations	4.10%	3.70%	2.50%	3.90%	3.00%	2.80%	4.00%
Net Inflow/Outflow	(14,144)	(6,656)	(4,786)	(848)	(81,346)	247,768	(61,648)

- Source: US Census "OnTheMap" data tool. Refers to full & part-time jobs.
- Columns indicate the place of residence for workers.
- Rows indicate the place of work for residents of county in columns.
- Tan highlights represent % of workers resident in the county that also have jobs in the same county.
- Blue highlights indicate the county most residents commute TO for work.
- The bottom row shows the net inflow/outflow of workers for each county listed in the top row.
- King Co. is the region's job magnet. Of 1,124,996 jobs in King, 877,228 were filled by residents, yielding net inflow of 247,768 workers.
- King is the growth driver of Washington jobs. Since 1/10, 51% of statewide nonfarm jobs created were in King Co. As of June 2011, 44 % of all statewide nonfarm jobs were in King Co.
- In times of economic stress net inflow of workers to King rises, e.g. net inflow from 2005 to 2009 rose by 41%